

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/612,298

Applicant(s)

ANDERSON, BYRON E

Examiner

CHRISTOPHER M. GROSS

Art Unit

1639

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER M. GROSS(3) Byron Anderson(2) Alice Martin

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 August 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 5 and 46

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed: potentially moving the subject matter in claim 46 into claim 5, which would provide allowable subject matter with regard to inherent support of the pentamer libraries and may provide allowable subject matter for the other length peptides; plurality (as possibly open to additional elements) vs. suggested multiple in regard to claim 5 line 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. ~~See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.~~

/Christopher M Gross/
Examiner, Art Unit 1639